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#### Abstract

A high-yield, multigram synthesis of zingerone (4) and shogaol (6), two of the pungent principles of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe), is described. Both 4 and 6 were prepared by addition of organolithium reagents to 3 -(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)- $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylpropanamide (3), which was in turn prepared from ferulic acid (1) in $79 \%$ yield. The addition of methyllithium to 3 gave 4 in $63 \%$ (unoptimized) yield from 1. Similarly, the addition of heptynyllithium to amide 3, followed by $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ reduction of the resulting alkyne, gave 6 in $69 \%$ overall yield from 1.


Zingerone (4) [4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-butanone] and shogaol (6) [1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-dec-4-en-3-one] are two of the pungent principles found in ginger root oleoresin (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) (Connell and Sutherland, 1969; Banno and Mukaiyama, 1976). Both 4 and 6 have been synthesized using a variety of strategies (Connell and Sutherland, 1969; Banno and Mukaiyama, 1976; Enders et al., 1979; Denniff et al., 1981; Bunce and Reeves, 1989; Vig et al., 1990). However, we desired to develop a new procedure that would allow us to prepare both compounds in gram quantities by the addition of appropriate organometallic reagents to a common intermediate. To accomplish this objective, our strategy (Figure 1) was to prepare and use 3 -(4-hydroxy3 -methoxyphenyl)- N -methoxy- N -methylpropanamide (3) as a common intermediate to which the appropriate organometallic reagents could be added to yield 4 or the precursor to 6, alkyne 5.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To prepare the desired amide 3, it was first necessary to prepare 3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)propanoic acid (2). Compound 2 was in turn obtained by catalytic hydrogenation ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) of commercially available 3-(4-hy-droxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoic acid (1). The desired reduction ( $1 \rightarrow 2$ ) was accomplished in quantitative yield on a $30-\mathrm{g}$ scale. With 2 on hand, we proceeded to test whether methyllithium could be added to obtain 4 in high yield, thereby eliminating the need to prepare 3. While not unexpected (Jorgenson, 1971; Seidel et al., 1990), the addition of methyllithium to 2 was sluggish at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with $26 \%, 29 \%$, and $31 \%$ isolated yields of 4 being obtained after 24,48 , and 72 h , respectively. Given these results, the addition of an alkynyllithium reagent to 2 (i.e., $2 \rightarrow$ 5) was not expected to be productive, and this expectation was confirmed experimentally. Therefore, to facilitate the addition of the necessary organolithium reagents, 2 was converted to the $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylamide 3 ( Nahm and Wienreb, 1981). A one-pot procedure for 3 was developed in which 2 was treated with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, followed by addition of $N, O$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride and finally pyridine. Good yields of 3 ( $79 \%$ ) were obtained on reaction scales as large as 5.0 g . Methyllithium added smoothly to amide 3 , giving 4 in $80 \%$

[^0]yield (not optimized) on a 1.0-g scale. Amide 3 also allowed the addition of heptynyllithium to proceed readily at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, giving 5 in $99 \%$ yield on reaction scales as large as 2.5 g. Alkyne 5 was reduced to 6 in $88 \%$ yield on a $2.5-\mathrm{g}$ reaction scale using aqueous $\mathrm{CrSO}_{4}$ (Castro and Stephens, 1964).

In conclusion, the approach to 4 and 6 presented here allows for their preparation in good overall yield ( $63 \%$ and $69 \%$, respectively) and on a moderate scale from readily available starting materials. The preparations outlined should be of general utility to those seeking to prepare 4 and 6 or other compounds available from these compounds or amide 3.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen, except wherenoted. THF was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketal, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, and ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and hexanes were distilled through a Vigreux column. All other reagents and solvents were used as purchased. Yields were calculated for materials judged to be homogeneous by TLC and NMR. TLC was performed on aluminum-backed Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates having a 0.2 -mm coating thickness, visualizing with a $254-\mathrm{nm}$ UV lamp, and staining with an ethanol solution of 12 -molybdophosphoric acid. Mediumpressure column chromatography (MPLC) was accomplished using silica gel having an average pore diameter of $60 \AA$ and particle size of $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Melting points were obtained in open-end capillary tubes and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were acquired at 60 MHz using TMS as internal standard. IR spectra are approximately $\pm 4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All MS spectra were obtained under EI conditions at 70 eV . Combustion analysis was carried out by Desert Analytics of Tucson, AZ.
3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)propanoic Acid (2). A solution of 30 g ( 103 mmol ) of 3 -(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)2 -propenoic acid (1) in 600 mL of anhydrous ethanol was magnetically stirred under a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere for 5 days in the presence of a catalytic amount of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo to give 30.2 g ( $100 \%$ ) of 2 as an off-white, amorphous solid: mp $90.0-91.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [lit. $90-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Pearl, 1959)]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.85-7.75$ (br s, 2 H ), 6.96-6.57 (m, 3 H ), 3.82 (s, 3 H ), $3.10-2.45$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ); IR (mull) $3500-2500$ (m), 1699 (s); MS m/z (relative intensity) $196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 28\right), 150(5), 137(100), 122(8), 107(8), 91(6)$, 77 (8). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 61.22; H, 6.16. Found: C, 61.23; H, 6.15 .

3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-methoxy- N -methylpropanamide (3). A solution of 5.00 g of $2(25.5 \mathrm{mmol}), 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and $2.23 \mathrm{~mL}(3.64 \mathrm{~g}, 30.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was refluxed for 8 h . The progress of the reaction was followed by TLC using aliquots quenched with anhydrous MeOH [ $R_{f}$ of ester, 0.56 (EtOAc)]. The reaction mixture was cooled to room tempera-
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Figure 1. Synthetic procedures for the preparation of compounds 2-6.
ture, and 3.00 g ( 30.6 mmol ) of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride was added, followed by slow addition of 4.53 mL ( $4.43 \mathrm{~g}, 56.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of pyridine. After 24 h , the reaction was judged complete by TLC and concentrated in vacuo. Suction filtration through a $3-\mathrm{cm}$ pad of $60-\mathrm{mesh}$ silica gel using EtOAc as eluant, followed by in vacuo concentration, gave a viscous yellow oil. Purification by MPLC on a $2.5 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ column using EtOAcgave 4.83 g ( $79 \%$ ) of 3 as a light-yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.30$ (EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.02-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H ), 3.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.17 (s, 3 H ), 2.98-2.47 (m, 4 H ); IR (neat) 3550-3100 (s), 1653 (s); MS $m / z$ (relative intensity) $239\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 18\right)$, 179 (6), 150 (7), 137 (100), 122 (6), 107 (6), 91 (9), 77 (8). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 60.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.16 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.85$. Found: C, 59.96; H, 6.90; N, 5.59.

4-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-butanone (4). To a stirring solution of 1.00 g of $3(4.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise, over $5 \mathrm{~min}, 7.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ( 10.45 mmol ) of $\mathrm{MeLi}\left(1.5 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min , then warmed to room temperature over 2 h before it was quenched by pouring into a solution of 15 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and 15 g of crushed ice. The organic and aqueous layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times$ 15 mL ). The combined ethereal extracts were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by MPLC on a $1.5 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ column using $30 \%$ (v/v) EtOAc/hexane gave $0.65 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of 4 as a clear oil, which solidified to give a white solid upon refrigeration: mp $40.5-41.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [lit. $41-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Connell and Sutherland, 1969)]; $R_{f} 0.33$ [ $40 \%$ (v/v) EtOAc/hexane]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR, and MS data were in agreement with those previously published (Banno and Makaiyama, 1976; Bunce and Reeves, 1989). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 68.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.27$. Found: C, 68.00; H, 7.26.

1-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)dec-4-yn-3-one (5). To a stirring solution of $4.80 \mathrm{~mL}(3.52 \mathrm{~g}, 36.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-heptyne in 25 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added, over $10 \mathrm{~min}, 22.9 \mathrm{~mL}$
( 36.57 mmol ) of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 1.6 M in hexane). The lithium reagent was allowed to continue stirring for 30 min before adding it dropwise, via cannula and over a $20-\mathrm{min}$ period, to a stirring solution of 2.50 g ( 10.45 mmol ) of 3 in 50 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min , the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over a 4-h period. The reaction mirture was then quenched by slowly pouring it into a stirring solution of 75 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and 75 g of crushed ice. Fifty milliliters of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by MPLC on a $2.5 \times 60-\mathrm{cm}$ column using $20 \%$ (v/v) EtOAc/hexane gave 2.86 g ( $99 \%$ ) of 5 as a light-yellow oil: $R_{t} 0.46$ [ $40 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) EtOAc/hexane]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 6.95-6.53$ (m, 3 H ), 5.57 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) 3.80 (s, 3 H ), 2.85 (m, 4 H ), 2.48-2.04 (m, 2 H ), 1.55-1.07 (m, 6 H ), $1.07-0.78$ (m, 3 H ); IR (neat) $3600-3200(\mathrm{~s}), 2211$ (s), 1735 (m), 1671 (s); MS $m / z$ (relative intensity) $274\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 36\right), 203(49), 150$ (62), 137 (100), 119 (18), 107 (13), 91 (21), 77 (15). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 74.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.08$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 74.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.35$.

1-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)dec-4-en-3-one (6). To a stirring solution of $2.50 \mathrm{~g}(9.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 5 in 50 mL of DMF and 18 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added 36.5 mL of a $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{CrSO}_{4}$ solution, which was prepared according to a literature procedure (Castro and Kray, 1961). After 24 h , TLC analysis indicated the reaction was complete, so the reaction was saturated with $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and allowed to stir for 15 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with 250 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ethereal extracts were washed with brine $(1 \times 30$ mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by MPLC on a $2.5 \times 60-\mathrm{cm}$ column using $20 \%$ (v/v) EtOAc/ hexane gave $2.21 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ ) of 6 as a light-yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.13$ [ $15 \%$ (v/v) EtOAc/hexane]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR, and MS data were in agreement with those previously published (Banno and Mukaiyama, 1976; Vig et al., 1990). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.88; H, 8.75. Found: C, 74.39; H, 8.75.
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